

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/029,616	12/21/2001	Herbert V. Joiner	NA11P062/01.304.01 4530		
28875	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER		
Zilka-Kotab, PC			TRAN, PHUC H		
P.O. BOX 721 SAN JOSE. (	.120 CA 95172-1120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , ,			2666		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>(</b> E				
	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/029,6	16	JOINER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
	PHUC H.		2666			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirt  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the star n statutory period will apply and valphy will, by statute, cause the aphs after the mailing date of this c	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>21 December 2</u>	<u> 2001</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from co					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) included 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	re: a) accepted or be bjection to the drawing(s) ling the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copi application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office ac	ity documents have be ity documents have be es of the priority docum ational Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/029,616

Art Unit: 2666

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6892227. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

A method for analyzing a network utilizing a zone controller (col. 18, lines 2-3, claim 1), comprising: receiving network traffic information from a plurality of host controllers (col. 18,

Application/Control Number: 10/029,616 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

line 4; claim 1 & 20); and reporting on the network traffic information utilizing a plurality of network-based interfaces (col. 18, lines 8-9; claims 1 & 20); and wherein the host controllers are related to a zone (see claim 1); synchronizing a clock with the host controllers (claim 6); wherein the network traffic information is originally collected by a plurality of agents coupled to the host controllers (see claim 20); identifying a zone associated with each of the host controllers (claim 20); reporting on the network traffic information relating to each of the zones (col. 20, lines 60-67; claim 21); receiving maps from the host controller (claim 5); reporting on the network traffic information utilizing the maps (claim 20, f); offloading the network traffic information to a database (col. 21, lines 3-5; claim 21).

- 3. For claims 1-26, Applicants' claims 1-26 merely broaden the scope of the patent number 6,892,227 by eliminating the term "delay times are calculated to construct a picture of latency through-out an enterprise". It have been held that the omission of the element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex Parte Raine, 168 USPQ 375 (bd. App. 1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not need would be obvious to one skill in the art.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H. TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

Art Unit: 2666

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t 8/7/05

CANG TON
PRIMARY EXAMINER